

Comment Set D.19: Gary and Judy Naeve



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Scoping Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9-5-06

Name*: GARY & JUDY NAEVE

Affiliation (if any)*: _____

Address*: 10800 LOST VALLEY RANCH Rd

City, State, Zip Code*: LEONA VALLEY, CA 93551

Telephone Number*: (661) 270 1886

Email*: - N/A -

RECEIVED
SEP 08 2006
BY: _____

We would like to preface this letter by saying we have NEVER been formally informed of the Antelope-Pardee 500kV transmission project. If it had not been for the Leona Valley "Shoppings" (on 84/100) we feel we would not have even been informed of this project, which will have Alt 5 cross 1000' in front of us. Because of this we feel an extension to rebut this proposal should be granted us. Having said that we would like to voice our strong opposition to Alt 5, for the following reasons:

FIRE: We live in a high fire risk area & when there is a fire firefighters MUST have the support of fixed wing aircraft ^{and} or helicopters to fight. We already have an existing high voltage lines on the hill to the south of us. Alt 5 would add lines to the north side of us making it very difficult if not impossible to fight a fire from the air. Our firefighters NEED the air assistance.

MEDICAL: These lines have an electromagnetic field. That's a fact no one can deny. The potential for brain tumors in children, spontaneous abortions in pregnant women, leukemia in children & adults & breast cancer, is great. No one can assure us there is no health hazard living close to these 500kV transmission lines.

D.19-1

D.19-2

D.19-3

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspenerg.com.

Gary & Judy Naere
1080 East Valley Ranch Rd
Spoma Valley Ca 93551
(aa) 270 1886
page 2 of 2

Animals instinctively shy away from high power lines, and structures (ex schools) are prohibited from being built beneath high voltage lines so these lines must not be safe to be around.

D.19-3
cont.

SAFETY: Roads would have to be put in for access to these 500KV towers for construction and the maintenance of them. We have learned one thing for sure; if there is a dirt road there will be off road vehicles (ATV's) on them, legally or not. Our police are stretched to the max enforcing laws in town. Who will patrol these roads? Who will ensure no one throws out lit cigarettes & start a fire? Who can guarantee that our quiet valley would not become a noisy race way that not only would be a noise pollutant but would scare the wild life that so peacefully enjoy our valley. Dirt roads equal more traffic, more noise, a greater chance of accidents, greater chance of fires & most of all more destruction of the view scape.

D.19-4

In conclusion: We live in a beautiful peaceful environment. A corridor already exists with high voltage lines. We ask, plead, that the existing corridor be used, and that no more land is scared, no more wild life be displaced and more importantly that the ecology/environment not be disrupted any more than it has been.

D.19-5

C.C: B. Rummer - State Senator
B. Rummer - State Assembly
M. Antonovich - Supervisor

Thank you
Gary & Judy Naere, Homeowners

Response to Comment Set D.19: Gary and Judy Naeve

- D.19-1 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding noticing procedures on the Draft EIR/EIS.
- D.19-2 We recognize that Alternative 5 would constrain the ability to aggressively fight a wildland fire in the vicinity of the route, and would create additional fire risks to inhabited areas such as Leona Valley and Agua Dulce (see discussion in Section D.5). Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
- D.19-3 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF concerns. Also, please see the response to Comment B.15-5 regarding impacts to domesticated livestock. Based on the research presented in “Transmission Line Studies Priest Rapids Project FERC No. 2114 Final Report”, while specific individual horses may appear to be influenced by transmission lines, the consensus is that the behavior of the majority of livestock is not impacted by transmission lines.
- D.19-4 Section C.11.10 of the Draft EIR/EIS discusses the effects of Alternative 5 on public service providers, including police. Please also see Section C.13.10 for an evaluation of the potential impacts to traffic from construction of Alternative 5 and the creation of new roads.
- D.19-5 Thank you for submitting your opinion on Alternative 5.